## D’Amore Associates, Inc.

1135 Stafford Road Tiverton, Rhode Island $02878 \quad$ Email: damoreinc@gmail.com $\quad$ Phone: (978) 807-8301
Environmental Engineering and Ground Water Consulting

September 5, 2019

Melissa Danza
Conservation Agent
Town of Southborough
17 Common Street
Southborough, MA 01772
Re: Breakneck Hill Conservation Area
Leachate Sampling Results

## Dear Ms. Danza:

This letter summarizes the results of a leachate sample collected from the downslope side of the farm dump off Breakneck Hill Road. The sample was collected on the morning of August 14, 2019 behind 48 Breakneck Hill Road in an area where an iron-stained seep was observed discharging to an ephemeral stream. The sampling locus is depicted on Figure 1.

The sample, which was analyzed for the full spectrum of contaminants; Priority Pollutant 13 metals, iron, pesticides, volatile organic compounds, PCBs, base/neutral and acid extractable compounds, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, halogenated volatile organic compounds, EPH/VPH compounds, 2,3,7,8-TCDD (indicators for dioxins and furans), was collected from a shallow depression that was created to collect leachate as it travelled toward the ephemeral stream (refer to Figure 2).

The only analytes that were detected were iron and zinc. There is no regulatory standard for iron; and zinc, which was detected at a concentration of $0.006 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ is below the GW-3 standard ( $0.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ). The sampling results for all of the parameters that were analyzed are included in Table 1. The laboratory report is included as Attachment 1.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions that you may have.
Sincerely,
D'Amore Associates, Inc.


Denis D'Amore, Ph.D., P.E.
Licensed Site Professional
Figures, Table and Laboratory Report
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Table 1

## Leachate Sampling Results, August 14, 2019 Breakneck Hill Conservation Area

| Lab Sample Id | GW-3 <br> Standard | CD86207 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collection Date |  | 8/14/2019 |
| Client Id |  | BHCA |
| Matrix |  | Surface Water |
| Metals, Dissolved (mg/l) |  |  |
| Antimony (Dissolved) | 8 | < 0.005 |
| Arsenic (Dissolved) | 0.9 | < 0.004 |
| Beryllium (Dissolved) | 0.2 | < 0.001 |
| Cadmium (Dissolved) | 0.004 | < 0.001 |
| Chromium (Dissolved) | 0.3 | < 0.001 |
| Copper (Dissolved) |  | < 0.005 |
| Thallium (Dissolved) | 3 | < 0.0003 |
| Iron (Dissolved) |  | 1.43 |
| Lead (Dissolved) | 0.01 | < 0.002 |
| Mercury (Dissolved) | 0.02 | < 0.0002 |
| Nickel (Dissolved) | 0.2 | < 0.001 |
| Selenium (Dissolved) | 0.1 | < 0.011 |
| Silver (Dissolved) | 0.007 | < 0.001 |
| Zinc (Dissolved) | 0.9 | 0.006 |
| TPH By MA VPH 5/2004 (ug/l) |  |  |
| C5-C8 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons *1,2 | 50,000 | < 100 |
| C9-C10 Aromatic Hydrocarbons *1 | 50,000 | < 100 |
| C9-C12 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons *1,3 | 50,000 | < 100 |
| Benzene | 10,000 | < 1.0 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 5,000 | < 1.0 |
| MTBE | 50,000 | < 1.0 |
| Naphthalene | 20,000 | < 5.0 |
| Toluene | 40,000 | < 1.0 |
| m,p-Xylenes |  | <2.0 |
| o-Xylene |  | < 1.0 |
| MA EPH Aliphatic/Aromatic Ranges By MAEPH 5/2004 (ug/l) |  |  |
| C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons 1,2* | 5,000 | < 190 |
| C19-C36 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons 1* | 50,000 | < 190 |
| C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons 1* | 50,000 | < 190 |
| PCBs By SW8082A (ug/l) |  |  |
| PCB-1016 | 10 | < 0.095 |
| PCB-1221 | 10 | < 0.095 |
| PCB-1232 | 10 | < 0.095 |
| PCB-1242 | 10 | < 0.095 |
| PCB-1248 | 10 | < 0.095 |
| PCB-1254 | 10 | < 0.095 |
| PCB-1260 | 10 | < 0.095 |
| PCB-1262 |  | < 0.095 |


| PCB-1268 |  | < 0.095 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volatiles By SW8260C (ug/l) |  |  |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 50,000 | < 1.0 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 20,000 | < 1.0 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 50,000 | < 0.50 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 50,000 | < 1.0 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 20,000 | < 1.0 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 30,000 | < 1.0 |
| 1,1-Dichloropropene |  | < 1.0 |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene |  | < 1.0 |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane |  | < 1.0 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 50,000 | < 1.0 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene |  | < 1.0 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane |  | < 1.0 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 50,000 | < 1.0 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 2,000 | < 1.0 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 20,000 | < 0.60 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 50,000 | < 1.0 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene |  | < 1.0 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 50,000 | < 1.0 |
| 1,3-Dichloropropane |  | < 1.0 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 8,000 | < 1.0 |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane |  | < 1.0 |
| 2-Chlorotoluene |  | < 1.0 |
| 2-Hexanone |  | < 5.0 |
| 2-Isopropyltoluene |  | < 1.0 |
| 4-Chlorotoluene |  | < 1.0 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | 50,000 | < 5.0 |
| Acetone | 50,000 | <25 |
| Acrylonitrile |  | < 1.0 |
| Benzene | 10,000 | < 0.70 |
| Bromobenzene |  | < 1.0 |
| Bromochloromethane |  | < 1.0 |
| Bromodichloromethane | 50,000 | < 0.50 |
| Bromoform | 50,000 | < 1.0 |
| Bromomethane | 800 | < 1.0 |
| Carbon Disulfide |  | < 5.0 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 5,000 | < 1.0 |
| Chlorobenzene | 1,000 | < 1.0 |
| Chloroethane |  | < 1.0 |
| Chloroform | 20,000 | < 1.0 |
| Chloromethane |  | < 1.0 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 50,000 | < 1.0 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene |  | < 0.40 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 50,000 | < 0.50 |
| Dibromomethane |  | < 1.0 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane |  | <1.0 |


| Ethylbenzene | 5,000 | < 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 3,000 | < 0.40 |
| Isopropylbenzene |  | < 1.0 |
| m\&p-Xylene |  | < 1.0 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone | 50,000 | < 5.0 |
| Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE) | 50,000 | < 1.0 |
| Methylene chloride | 50,000 | < 1.0 |
| Naphthalene | 20,000 | < 1.0 |
| n-Butylbenzene |  | < 1.0 |
| n-Propylbenzene |  | < 1.0 |
| o-Xylene |  | < 1.0 |
| p-Isopropyltoluene |  | < 1.0 |
| sec-Butylbenzene |  | < 1.0 |
| Styrene | 6,000 | < 1.0 |
| tert-Butylbenzene |  | < 1.0 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 30,000 | < 1.0 |
| Tetrahydrofuran (THF) |  | <2.5 |
| Toluene | 40,000 | < 1.0 |
| Total Xylenes | 5,000 | < 1.0 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 50,000 | < 1.0 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene |  | < 0.40 |
| trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene |  | < 5.0 |
| Trichloroethene | 5,000 | < 1.0 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane |  | < 1.0 |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane |  | < 1.0 |
| Vinyl chloride | 50,000 | < 1.0 |
| Semivolatiles by SIM, PAH By SW8270D (SIM) (ug/I) |  |  |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 20,000 | < 0.49 |
| Acenaphthene | 10,000 | < 0.49 |
| Acenaphthylene | 40 | < 0.10 |
| Anthracene | 30 | < 0.09 |
| Benz(a)anthracene | 1,000 | < 0.10 |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 500 | < 0.20 |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 400 | < 0.10 |
| Benzo(ghi)perylene | 20 | < 0.02 |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 100 | < 0.10 |
| Chrysene | 70 | < 0.05 |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | 40 | < 0.02 |
| Fluoranthene | 200 | < 0.49 |
| Fluorene | 40 | < 0.10 |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | 100 | < 0.10 |
| Naphthalene | 20,000 | < 0.49 |
| Phenanthrene | 10,000 | < 0.49 |
| Pyrene | 20 | < 0.07 |
| Pesticides By SW8081B (ug/l) |  |  |
| 4,4' -DDD | 50 | < 0.048 |
| 4,4' -DDE | 400 | < 0.048 |


| 4,4' -DDT | 1 | $<0.048$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a-BHC |  | $<0.024$ |
| Alachlor |  | $<0.071$ |
| Aldrin | 30 | $<0.001$ |
| b-BHC |  | $<0.005$ |
| Chlordane | 2 | $<0.019$ |
| d-BHC | 0.5 | $<0.024$ |
| Dieldrin |  | $<0.050$ |
| Endosulfan I |  | $<0.048$ |
| Endosulfan II |  | $<0.048$ |
| Endosulfan Sulfate |  | $<0.048$ |
| Endrin |  | $<0.048$ |
| Endrin Aldehyde | 4 | $<0.048$ |
| Endrin ketone | 1 | $<0.048$ |
| g-BHC (Lindane) | 2 | $<0.024$ |
| Heptachlor | 6,000 | $<0.024$ |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 10 | $<0.005$ |
| Hexachlorobenzene |  | $<0.095$ |
| Methoxychlor | $<0.95$ |  |
| Toxaphene | 50,000 | $<100$ |
| Oxygenates \& Dioxane By SW8260C (0XY) (ug/I) | $<1.0$ |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane |  | $<1.0$ |
| Diethyl ether |  | $<1.0$ |
| Di-isopropyl ether |  |  |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether |  |  |
| tert-amyl methyl ether |  |  |

Result Detected

RL Exceeds Criteria

Result Exceeds Criteria

Attachment 1
Laboratory Report

Wednesday, September 04, 2019

Attn: Mr. Denis D'Amore
D'Amore Associates
1135 Stafford Road
Tiverton, RI 02878

Project ID: SOUTHBORO CON COM
SDG ID: GCD86207
Sample ID\#s: CD86207 - CD86208
This laboratory is in compliance with the NELAC requirements of procedures used except where indicated.

This report contains results for the parameters tested, under the sampling conditions described on the Chain Of Custody, as received by the laboratory. This report is incomplete unless all pages indicated in the pagination at the bottom of the page are included.

A scanned version of the COC form accompanies the analytical report and is an exact duplicate of the original.
If you are the client above and have any questions concerning this testing, please do not hesitate to contact Phoenix Client Services at ext.200. The contents of this report cannot be discussed with anyone other than the client listed above without their written consent.

Sincerely yours,


Phyllis/Shiller
Laboratory Director

NELAC - \#NY11301
CT Lab Registration \#PH-0618
MA Lab Registration \#M-CT007
ME Lab Registration \#CT-007
NH Lab Registration \#213693-A,B

NJ Lab Registration \#CT-003
NY Lab Registration \#11301
PA Lab Registration \#68-03530
RI Lab Registration \#63
UT Lab Registration \#CT00007
VT Lab Registration \#VT11301

## PHOENXX



Environmental Laboratories, Inc.
Tel. (860) 645-1102
Fax (860) 645-0823

## SDG Comments

September 04, 2019
SDG I.D.: GCD86207

Phoenix reporting levels may exceed those referenced in the CAM protocol. Please refer to criteria sheet for comparisons to requested MCP standards.

Environmental Laboratories, Inc.
587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045
Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823

## Sample Id Cross Reference

September 04, 2019
SDG I.D.: GCD86207
Project ID: SOUTHBORO CON COM

| Client Id | Lab Id | Matrix |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BHCA | CD86207 | SURFACE WATER |
| TRIP BLANK | CD86208 | SURFACE WATER |

Environmental Laboratories, Inc.
587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045
Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823

## Analysis Report

September 04, 2019

FOR: Attn: Mr. Denis D'Amore
D'Amore Associates
1135 Stafford Road
Tiverton, RI 02878

| $l$ | Sample Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Matrix: | SURFACE WATER |  |
| Location Code: | DAMORE |  |
| Rush Request: | 72 Hour |  |
| P.O.\#: |  |  |

## Custody Information <br> Collected by: <br> Received by: SW <br> Analyzed by: see "By" below

| $\underline{\text { Date }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Time |  |
| $08 / 14 / 19$ | $10: 30$ |
| $08 / 15 / 19$ | $17: 56$ |

SDG ID: GCD86207
Phoenix ID: CD86207

## Project ID: SOUTHBORO CON COM

Client ID: BHCA

| Parameter | Result | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RL} / \\ \mathrm{PQL} \end{gathered}$ | Units | Dilution | Date/Time | By | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silver (Dissolved) | $<0.001$ | 0.001 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 08/16/19 | TH | SW6010D |
| Arsenic (Dissolved) | < 0.004 | 0.004 | mg/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | TH | SW6010D |
| Beryllium (Dissolved) | $<0.001$ | 0.001 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 08/16/19 | TH | SW6010D |
| Cadmium (Dissolved) | < 0.001 | 0.001 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 08/16/19 | TH | SW6010D |
| Chromium (Dissolved) | < 0.001 | 0.001 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 08/16/19 | TH | SW6010D |
| Copper (Dissolved) | < 0.005 | 0.005 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 08/16/19 | TH | SW6010D |
| Iron (Dissolved) | 1.43 | 0.011 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 08/16/19 | TH | E200.7 |
| Mercury (Dissolved) | $<0.0002$ | 0.0002 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 08/20/19 | RS | SW7470A |
| Nickel (Dissolved) | < 0.001 | 0.001 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 08/16/19 | TH | SW6010D |
| Lead (Dissolved) | $<0.002$ | 0.002 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 08/16/19 | TH | SW6010D |
| Antimony (Dissolved) | $<0.005$ | 0.005 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 08/16/19 | TH | SW6010D |
| Selenium (Dissolved) | $<0.011$ | 0.011 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 08/16/19 | TH | E200.7-4.4 |
| Thallium (Dissolved) | $<0.0003$ | 0.0003 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 08/22/19 | CPP | SW6020B |
| Zinc (Dissolved) | 0.006 | 0.002 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | 08/16/19 | TH | SW6010D |
| Filtration | Completed |  |  |  | 08/15/19 | AG | 0.45 um Filter |
| Dissolved Mercury Digestion | Completed |  |  |  | 08/19/19 | LS/I | SW7470A |
| EPH Extraction | Completed |  |  |  | 08/16/19 | JS/VT | SW3510C |
| MA Petroleum Hydrocarbon (EPH) | Completed |  |  |  | 08/15/19 |  | MADEP EPH-04 |
| PCB Extraction | Completed |  |  |  | 08/15/19 | N | SW3510C |
| Extraction for Pest (2 Liter) | Completed |  |  |  | 08/15/19 | N | SW3510C |
| Semi-Volatile Extraction | Completed |  |  |  | 08/16/19 | P/D | SW3520C |
| Dissolved Metals Preparation | Completed |  |  |  | 08/15/19 | AG | SW3005A |
| Dissolved Metals Preparation | Completed |  |  |  | 08/19/19 | AG | SW3005A |
| MA Petroleum Hydrocarbon (VPH) | Completed |  |  |  | 08/16/19 | RM | MADEP VPH04 |
| Dioxin | Completed | 1.0 | pg/L |  | 08/24/19 | * | E1613B |

## Polychlorinated Biphenyls

PCB-1016 ND
ND
0.095
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { ug/L 08/16/19 } & 1 & \text { SC } & \text { SW8082A }\end{array}$

| Parameter | Result | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{RL} / \\ & \mathrm{PQL} \end{aligned}$ | Units | Dilution | Date/Time | By | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCB-1221 | ND | 0.095 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | SC | SW8082A |
| PCB-1232 | ND | 0.095 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | SC | SW8082A |
| PCB-1242 | ND | 0.095 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | SC | SW8082A |
| PCB-1248 | ND | 0.095 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | SC | SW8082A |
| PCB-1254 | ND | 0.095 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | SC | SW8082A |
| PCB-1260 | ND | 0.095 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | SC | SW8082A |
| PCB-1262 | ND | 0.095 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | SC | SW8082A |
| PCB-1268 | ND | 0.095 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | SC | SW8082A |
| QA/QC Surrogates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% DCBP | 69 |  | \% | 1 | 08/16/19 | SC | 30-150\% |
| \% DCBP (Confirmation) | 77 |  | \% | 1 | 08/16/19 | SC | 30-150\% |
| \% TCMX | 77 |  | \% | 1 | 08/16/19 | SC | 30-150\% |
| \% TCMX (Confirmation) | 80 |  | \% | 1 | 08/16/19 | SC | 30-150\% |
| Pesticides |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4,4' -DDD | ND | 0.048 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| 4,4'-DDE | ND | 0.048 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| 4,4' -DDT | ND | 0.048 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| a-BHC | ND | 0.024 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Alachlor | ND | 0.071 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Aldrin | ND | 0.001 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| b-BHC | ND | 0.005 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Chlordane | ND | 0.019 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| d-BHC | ND | 0.024 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Dieldrin | ND | 0.050 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Endosulfan I | ND | 0.048 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Endosulfan II | ND | 0.048 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Endosulfan Sulfate | ND | 0.048 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Endrin | ND | 0.048 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Endrin Aldehyde | ND | 0.048 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Endrin ketone | ND | 0.048 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| g-BHC (Lindane) | ND | 0.024 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Heptachlor | ND | 0.024 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ND | 0.024 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ND | 0.005 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Methoxychlor | ND | 0.095 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| Toxaphene | ND | 0.95 | ug/L | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | SW8081B |
| QA/QC Surrogates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%DCBP (Surrogate Rec) | 98 |  | \% | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | 30-150\% |
| \%DCBP (Surrogate Rec) (Confirmation) | 40 |  | \% | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | 30-150\% |
| \%TCMX (Surrogate Rec) | 72 |  | \% | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | 30-150\% |
| \%TCMX (Surrogate Rec) (Confirmation) | 58 |  | \% | 1 | 08/17/19 | AW | 30-150\% |
| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.50 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |

[^0]| Parameter | Result | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{RL} / \\ & \mathrm{PQL} \end{aligned}$ | Units | Dilution | Date/Time | By | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,1-Dichloropropene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.60 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,3-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 2-Hexanone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 2-Isopropyltoluene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Acetone | ND | 25 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Acrylonitrile | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Benzene | ND | 0.70 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Bromobenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Bromochloromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.50 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Bromoform | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Bromomethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Carbon Disulfide | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Carbon tetrachloride | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Chloroethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Chloroform | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Chloromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 0.40 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.50 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Dibromomethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ND | 0.40 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Isopropylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| m\&p-Xylene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Methyl ethyl ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE) | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Methylene chloride | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Naphthalene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| n -Butylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| n-Propylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |


| Parameter | Result | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{RL} / \\ & \mathrm{PQL} \end{aligned}$ | Units | Dilution | Date/Time | By | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| o-Xylene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| p-Isopropyltoluene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | sW8260C |
| sec-Butylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Styrene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| tert-Butylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Tetrahydrofuran (THF) | ND | 2.5 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Toluene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Total Xylenes | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | sW8260C |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 0.40 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Trichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| QA/QC Surrogates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% 1,2-dichlorobenzene-d4 | 96 |  | \% | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | 70-130\% |
| \% Bromofluorobenzene | 95 |  | \% | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | 70-130\% |
| \% Dibromofluoromethane | 109 |  | \% | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | 70-130\% |
| \% Toluene-d8 | 91 |  | \% | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | 70-130\% |
| Oxygenates \& Dioxane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | ND | 100 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C (OXY) |
| Diethyl ether | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C (OXY) |
| Di-isopropyl ether | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C (OXY) |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C (OXY) |
| tert-amyl methyl ether | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/18/19 | MH | SW8260C (OXY) |

## Semivolatiles by SIM, PAH

| 2-MethyInaphthalene | ND | 0.49 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.49 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.10 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.09 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Benz(a)anthracene | ND | 0.10 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ND | 0.20 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ND | 0.10 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Benzo(ghi)perylene | ND | 0.02 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ND | 0.10 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.49 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.10 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | ND | 0.10 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.49 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.49 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.07 | ug/L | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | SW8270D (SIM) |
| QA/QC Surrogates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 63 |  | \% | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | 30-130\% |
| \% Nitrobenzene-d5 | 79 |  | \% | 1 | 08/20/19 | WB | 30-130\% |

[^1]| Parameter | Result | RL/ | ULL | Units | Dilution | Date/Time | By |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reference |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terphenyl-d14 | 17 | $\%$ | 1 | $08 / 20 / 19$ | WB | $30-130 \%$ |  |

## MA EPH Aliphatic/Aromatic Ranges

| C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons 1,2* | ND | 190 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $08 / 16 / 19$ | AW | MAEPH $5 / 2004$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons Unadj | ND | 190 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $08 / 16 / 19$ | AW | MAEPH $5 / 2004$ |
| C19-C36 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons 1* | ND | 190 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $08 / 16 / 19$ | AW | MAEPH $5 / 2004$ |
| C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons 1* | ND | 190 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $08 / 16 / 19$ | AW | MAEPH $5 / 2004$ |
| Total TPH 1,2* | ND | 190 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $08 / 16 / 19$ | AW | MAEPH $5 / 2004$ |
| QA/QC Surrogates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% 1-chlorooctadecane (aliphatic) | 84 |  | $\%$ | 1 | $08 / 16 / 19$ | AW | $40-140 \%$ |
| \% 2-Bromonaphthalene (Fractionation) | 80 |  | $\%$ | 1 | $08 / 16 / 19$ | AW | $40-140 \%$ |
| \% 2-Fluorobiphenyl (Fractionation) | 90 |  | $\%$ | 1 | $08 / 16 / 19$ | AW | $40-140 \%$ |
| \% o-terphenyl (aromatic) | 79 |  |  | 1 | $08 / 16 / 19$ | AW | $40-140 \%$ |

## MA Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons (VPH)

| Unadjusted C5-C8 Aliphatics (*1) | ND | 100 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | RM | MA VPH 5/2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unadjusted C9-C12 Aliphatics (*1) | ND | 100 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | RM | MA VPH 5/2004 |
| C5-C8 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons *1,2 | ND | 100 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | RM | MA VPH 5/2004 |
| C9-C12 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons *1,3 | ND | 100 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | RM | MA VPH 5/2004 |
| C9-C10 Aromatic Hydrocarbons *1 | ND | 100 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | RM | MA VPH 5/2004 |
| Benzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | RM | MA VPH 5/2004 |
| Ethyl Benzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | RM | MA VPH 5/2004 |
| MTBE | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | RM | MA VPH 5/2004 |
| Naphthalene | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | RM | MA VPH 5/2004 |
| Toluene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | RM | MA VPH 5/2004 |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | RM | MA VPH 5/2004 |
| o-Xylene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/16/19 | RM | MA VPH 5/2004 |
| QA/QC Surrogates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% 2,5-Dibromotoluene (FID) | 87 |  | \% | 1 | 08/16/19 | RM | 70-130\% |
| \% 2,5-Dibromotoluene (PID) | 82 |  | \% | 1 | 08/16/19 | RM | 70-130\% |


| Parameter | Result | $\mathrm{RL} /$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

3 = This parameter exceeds laboratory specified limits.
$C=$ This parameter is subcontracted.
RL/PQL=Reporting/Practical Quantitation Level ND=Not Detected BRL=Below Reporting Level
QA/QC Surrogates: Surrogates are compounds (preceeded with a \%) added by the lab to determine analysis efficiency. Surrogate results(\%) listed in the report are not "detected" compounds.

## Comments:

* See Attached.

MAEPH:
$1^{*}$ Hydrocarbon range data exclude concentrations of any surrogate(s) and/or internal standards eluting in that range.
$2^{*}$ C11-C12 Aromatic Hydrocarbons exclude the concentration of Target PAH analytes eluting in that range.
MA VPH method is not approved for drinking water matrices.
The analysis should not be used for compliance purposes.
Semi-Volatile Comment:
Poor surrogate recovery was observed for one acid and/or one base surrogate. The other surrogates associated with this sample were within QA/QC criteria. No significant bias suspected.

Dioxin (E1613B) was analyzed by MN certified lab \#027053137.
If you are the client above and have any questions concerning this testing, please do not hesitate to contact Phoenix Client Services at ext. 200. The contents of this report cannot be discussed with anyone other than the client listed above without their written consent.


Reviewed and Released by: Rashmi Makol, Project Manager

Environmental Laboratories, Inc.
587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045
Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823

## Analysis Report

September 04, 2019

FOR: Attn: Mr. Denis D'Amore
D'Amore Associates
1135 Stafford Road
Tiverton, RI 02878


| Parameter | Result | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{RL} / \\ & \mathrm{PQL} \end{aligned}$ | Units | Dilution | Date/Time | By | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | ND | 25 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Acrylonitrile | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Benzene | ND | 0.70 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Bromobenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Bromochloromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.50 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Bromoform | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Bromomethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Carbon Disulfide | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Carbon tetrachloride | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Chloroethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Chloroform | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Chloromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 0.40 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.50 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Dibromomethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ND | 0.40 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Isopropylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| m\&p-Xylene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Methyl ethyl ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE) | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Methylene chloride | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Naphthalene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| n -Butylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| n -Propylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| o-Xylene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| p-Isopropyltoluene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| sec-Butylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Styrene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| tert-Butylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Tetrahydrofuran (THF) | ND | 2.5 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Toluene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Total Xylenes | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 0.40 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Trichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | SW8260C |
| QA/QC Surrogates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% 1,2-dichlorobenzene-d4 | 94 |  | \% | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | 70-130\% |
| \% Bromofluorobenzene | 97 |  | \% | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | 70-130\% |
| \% Dibromofluoromethane | 99 |  | \% | 1 | 08/15/19 | MH | 70-130\% |


| Parameter | Result | RL/ <br> PQL | Units | Dilution | Date/Time | By | Reference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| \% Toluene-d8 | 93 |  | $\%$ | 1 | $08 / 15 / 19$ | MH | $70-130 \%$ |
| Oxygenates \& Dioxane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dioxane | ND | 100 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $08 / 15 / 19$ | MH | SW8260C (OXY) |
| Diethyl ether | ND | 1.0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $08 / 15 / 19$ | MH | SW8260C (OXY) |
| Di-isopropyl ether | ND | 1.0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $08 / 15 / 19$ | MH | SW8260C (OXY) |
| Ethyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 1.0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $08 / 15 / 19$ | MH | SW8260C (OXY) |
| tert-amyl methyl ether | ND | 1.0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 | $08 / 15 / 19$ | MH | SW8260C (OXY) |

RL/PQL=Reporting/Practical Quantitation Level ND=Not Detected BRL=Below Reporting Level
QA/QC Surrogates: Surrogates are compounds (preceeded with a \%) added by the lab to determine analysis efficiency. Surrogate results(\%) listed in the report are not "detected" compounds.

## Comments:

TRIP BLANK INCLUDED.
If you are the client above and have any questions concerning this testing, please do not hesitate to contact Phoenix Client Services at ext. 200. The contents of this report cannot be discussed with anyone other than the client listed above without their written consent.


September 04, 2019
Reviewed and Released by: Rashmi Makol, Project Manager

Environmental Laboratories, Inc.
587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045

## QA/QC Report

Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823

September 04, 2019

QA/QC Batch 492675 (mg/L), QC Sample No: CD86230 (CD86207)
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Mercury (Dissolved) } & \text { BRL } & 0.0002<0.0002<0.0003 & \text { NC } & 95.3 & 93.4 & 75-125 & 30\end{array}$
Comment:
Additional Mercury criteria: LCS acceptance range for waters is $80-120 \%$ and for soils is $75-125 \%$
QA/QC Batch 492629 (mg/L), QC Sample No: CD84736 (CD86207)
ICP Metals - Dissolved

| Antimony | BRL | 0.005 | <0.005 | $<0.005$ | NC | 99.1 | 91.1 | 8.4 | 94.5 | 75-125 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arsenic | BRL | 0.004 | <0.004 | <0.004 | NC | 91.1 | 83.8 | 8.3 | 86.8 | 75-125 | 20 |
| Beryllium | BRL | 0.001 | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | NC | 92.8 | 89.3 | 3.8 | 93.3 | 75-125 | 20 |
| Cadmium | BRL | 0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | NC | 93.6 | 84.7 | 10.0 | 88.3 | 75-125 | 20 |
| Chromium | BRL | 0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | NC | 93.0 | 84.4 | 9.7 | 88.1 | 75-125 | 20 |
| Copper | BRL | 0.005 | $<0.005$ | $<0.005$ | NC | 87.8 | 85.1 | 3.1 | 89.1 | 75-125 | 20 |
| Iron | BRL | 0.011 | 0.571 | 0.564 | 1.20 | 94.9 | 85.9 | 10.0 | 87.9 | 75-125 | 20 |
| Lead | BRL | 0.002 | <0.002 | <0.002 | NC | 92.4 | 84.3 | 9.2 | 87.0 | 75-125 | 20 |
| Nickel | BRL | 0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | NC | 92.3 | 83.7 | 9.8 | 87.0 | 75-125 | 20 |
| Selenium | BRL | 0.011 | <0.011 | <0.011 | NC | 92.1 | 83.2 | 10.2 | 86.7 | 75-125 | 20 |
| Silver | BRL | 0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | NC | 85.1 | 81.7 | 4.1 | 84.1 | 75-125 | 20 |
| Zinc | BRL | 0.002 | $<0.002$ | <0.002 | NC | 92.8 | 84.4 | 9.5 | 87.8 | 75-125 | 20 |
| QA/QC Batch 493016 (mg/L), QC S ample No: CD85618 (CD86207) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ICP Metals MS - Dissolved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thallium | BRL | 0.0003 | <0.0003 | <0.0003 | NC | 101 | 95.6 | 5.5 | 102 | 75-125 | 20 |

Environmental Laboratories, Inc.
587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045
Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823

## QA/QC Report

September 04, 2019
QA/QC Data
SDG I.D.: GCD86207

| P arameter | Blank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BIk } \\ & \text { RL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCS } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCSD } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS } \\ & \text { RPD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSD } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS } \\ \text { RPD } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Rec Limits | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { RPD } \\ \text { Limits } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QA/QC Batch 492699 (ug/L), QC Sample No: CD86207 (CD86207) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAEPH - Surface Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons 1 | ND | 100 | 60 | 64 | 6.5 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 25 |
| C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons U | ND | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40-140 | 25 |
| C 19-C36 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons 1* | ND | 100 | 65 | 74 | 12.9 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 25 |
| C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons 1* | ND | 100 | 48 | 52 | 8.0 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 25 |
| Total TPH 1,2* | ND | 100 | 58 | 64 | 9.8 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 25 |
| \% 1-chlorooctadecane (aliphatic) | 51 | \% | 60 | 64 | 6.5 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 25 |
| \% 2-Bromonaphthalene (Fractionati | 101 | \% | 100 | 90 | 10.5 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 25 |
| \% 2-Fluorobiphenyl (Fractionation) | 74 | \% | 76 | 74 | 2.7 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 25 |
| \% 2-Methylnaphthalene BT |  | \% | 0 | 0 | NC |  |  |  | 0-5 |  |
| \% Naphthalene BT |  | \% | 0 | 0 | NC |  |  |  | 0-5 |  |
| \% o-terphenyl (aromatic) | 53 | \% | 67 | 70 | 4.4 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 25 |

## Comment:

A LCS and LCS Duplicate were performed instead of a matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
Additional EPH fractionation criteria: Breakthrough criteria (BT) is 0 to $5 \%$
QA/QC Batch 492646 (ug/L), QC Sample No: CD83157 (CD86207)
Polychlorinated Biphenyls - Surface Water

| PCB-1016 | ND | 0.050 | 75 | 95 | 23.5 | 40-140 | 20 | r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCB-1221 | ND | 0.050 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |  |
| PCB-1232 | ND | 0.050 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |  |
| PCB-1242 | ND | 0.050 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |  |
| PCB-1248 | ND | 0.050 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |  |
| PCB-1254 | ND | 0.050 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |  |
| PCB-1260 | ND | 0.050 | 86 | 96 | 11.0 | 40-140 | 20 |  |
| PCB-1262 | ND | 0.050 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |  |
| PCB-1268 | ND | 0.050 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |  |
| \% DCBP (Surrogate Rec) | 78 | \% | 76 | 87 | 13.5 | 30-150 | 20 |  |
| \% DCBP (Surrogate Rec) (Confirm | 63 | \% | 67 | 90 | 29.3 | 30-150 | 20 | r |
| \% TCMX (Surrogate Rec) | 85 | \% | 68 | 77 | 12.4 | 30-150 | 20 |  |
| \% TCMX (Surrogate Rec) (Confirm | 72 | \% | 65 | 80 | 20.7 | 30-150 | 20 | r |

## Comment:

A LCS and LCS Duplicate were performed instead of a matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
QA/QC Batch 492647 (ug/L), QC Sample No: CD83157 (CD86207)

## Pesticides - Surface Water

| $4,4^{\prime}-$ DDD | ND | 0.003 | 94 | 107 | 12.9 | $40-140$ | 20 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4,4^{\prime}-$ DDE | ND | 0.003 | 71 | 84 | 16.8 | $40-140$ | 20 |
| $4,4^{\prime}-$ DDT | ND | 0.003 | 87 | 99 | 12.9 | $40-140$ | 20 |
| a-BHC | ND | 0.002 | 77 | 85 | 9.9 | $40-140$ | 20 |
| Alachlor | ND | 0.005 | $N A$ | $N A$ | $N C$ | $40-140$ | 20 |
| Aldrin | ND | 0.002 | 60 | 69 | 14.0 | $40-140$ | 20 |


| Parameter | Blank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blk } \\ & \text { RL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCS } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCSD } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS } \\ & \text { RPD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSD } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS } \\ \text { RPD } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Rec Limits | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { RPD } \end{gathered}$ Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b-BHC | ND | 0.002 | 105 | 119 | 12.5 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| Chlordane | ND | 0.050 | 83 | 95 | 13.5 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| d-BHC | ND | 0.005 | 74 | 80 | 7.8 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| Dieldrin | ND | 0.002 | 85 | 97 | 13.2 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| Endosulfan I | ND | 0.005 | 102 | 115 | 12.0 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| Endosulfan II | ND | 0.005 | 102 | 115 | 12.0 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| Endosulfan sulfate | ND | 0.005 | 118 | 133 | 12.0 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| Endrin | ND | 0.005 | 88 | 101 | 13.8 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| Endrin aldehyde | ND | 0.005 | 105 | 113 | 7.3 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| Endrin ketone | ND | 0.005 | 112 | 128 | 13.3 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| g-BHC | ND | 0.002 | 86 | 96 | 11.0 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| Heptachlor | ND | 0.005 | 95 | 103 | 8.1 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| Heptachlor epoxide | ND | 0.005 | 88 | 99 | 11.8 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | ND | 0.005 | 71 | 62 | 13.5 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| Methoxychlor | ND | 0.005 | 78 | 87 | 10.9 |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| Toxaphene | ND | 0.20 | NA | NA | NC |  |  |  | 40-140 | 20 |
| \% DCBP | 99 | \% | 95 | 111 | 15.5 |  |  |  | 30-150 | 20 |
| \% DCBP (Confirmation) | 69 | \% | 71 | 76 | 6.8 |  |  |  | 30-150 | 20 |
| \% TCMX | 126 | \% | 71 | 108 | 41.3 |  |  |  | 30-150 | 20 |
| \% TCMX (Confirmation) | 57 | \% | 66 | 64 | 3.1 |  |  |  | 30-150 | 20 |

Comment:
A LCS and LCS duplicate were performed instead of a MS and MSD. Alpha and gamma chlordane were spiked and analyzed instead of technical chlordane. Gamma chlordane recovery is reported as chlordane in the LCS and LCSD
QA/QC Batch 492789 (ug/L), QC Sample No: CD84885 (CD86207)
Semivolatiles by SIM, PAH - Surface Water

| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.50 | 73 | 73 | 0.0 | 74 | 82 | 10.3 | 30-130 | 20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.50 | 83 | 93 | 11.4 | 91 | 66 | 31.8 | 30-130 | 20 | r |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.10 | 86 | 97 | 12.0 | 95 | 26 | 114.0 | 30-130 | 20 | m,r |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.10 | 92 | 105 | 13.2 | 102 | 64 | 45.8 | 30-130 | 20 | r |
| Benz(a)anthracene | ND | 0.05 | 101 | 111 | 9.4 | 93 | 62 | 40.0 | 30-130 | 20 | r |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | ND | 0.20 | 91 | 105 | 14.3 | 39 | 39 | 0.0 | 30-130 | 20 |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | ND | 0.07 | 99 | 108 | 8.7 | 74 | 59 | 22.6 | 30-130 | 20 | r |
| Benzo(ghi)perylene | ND | 0.02 | 76 | 83 | 8.8 | 43 | 43 | 0.0 | 30-130 | 20 |  |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | ND | 0.10 | 100 | 109 | 8.6 | 73 | 47 | 43.3 | 30-130 | 20 | r |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.05 | 89 | 97 | 8.6 | 78 | 63 | 21.3 | 30-130 | 20 | r |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | ND | 0.02 | 92 | 100 | 8.3 | 58 | 58 | 0.0 | 30-130 | 20 |  |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.50 | 95 | 105 | 10.0 | 101 | 80 | 23.2 | 30-130 | 20 | r |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.10 | 88 | 97 | 9.7 | 94 | 83 | 12.4 | 30-130 | 20 |  |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | ND | 0.10 | 93 | 101 | 8.2 | 56 | 56 | 0.0 | 30-130 | 20 |  |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.50 | 68 | 71 | 4.3 | 73 | 82 | 11.6 | 30-130 | 20 |  |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.06 | 84 | 93 | 10.2 | 92 | 81 | 12.7 | 30-130 | 20 |  |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.07 | 97 | 106 | 8.9 | 101 | 33 | 101.5 | 30-130 | 20 | r |
| \% 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 75 | \% | 70 | 80 | 13.3 | 78 | 71 | 9.4 | 30-130 | 20 |  |
| \% Nitrobenzene-d5 | 75 | \% | 72 | 82 | 13.0 | 85 | 76 | 11.2 | 30-130 | 20 |  |
| \% Terphenyl-d14 | 84 | \% | 71 | 86 | 19.1 | 44 | 32 | 31.6 | 30-130 | 20 | r |

Comment:
Additional 8270 criteria: $10 \%$ of compounds can be outside of acceptance criteria as long as recovery is at least $10 \%$. (Acid surrogates acceptance range for aqueous samples: 10-110\%, for soils 30-130\%)
QA/QC Batch 492770 (ug/L), QC Sample No: CD85841 (CD86208)
Volatiles - Surface Water

| $1,1,1,2-$-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 90 | 96 | 6.5 | $70-130$ | 30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1,1,1-T r i c h l o r o e t h a n e ~$ | ND | 1.0 | 89 | 93 | 4.4 | $70-130$ | 30 |


| Parameter | Blank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BIk } \\ & \text { RL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCS } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCSD } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS } \\ & \text { RPD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSD } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS } \\ \text { RPD } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Rec Limits | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { RPD } \\ \text { Limits } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.50 | 86 | 96 | 11.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 76 | 97 | 24.3 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 87 | 89 | 2.3 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | 95 | 92 | 3.2 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,1-Dichloropropene | ND | 1.0 | 89 | 90 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 82 | 100 | 19.8 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 86 | 99 | 14.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 88 | 99 | 11.8 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 90 | 89 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 73 | 90 | 20.9 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | ND | 1.0 | 84 | 95 | 12.3 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 91 | 96 | 5.3 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 86 | 100 | 15.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 89 | 98 | 9.6 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 91 | 90 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 91 | 90 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,3-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 87 | 95 | 8.8 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 87 | 90 | 3.4 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,4-dioxane | ND | 100 | 87 | 94 | 7.7 |  |  |  | 40-160 | 30 |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 90 | 91 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | ND | 1.0 | 93 | 92 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 2-Hexanone | ND | 5.0 | 80 | 96 | 18.2 |  |  |  | 40-160 | 30 |
| 2-Isopropyltoluene | ND | 1.0 | 95 | 96 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ND | 1.0 | 90 | 89 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | ND | 5.0 | 82 | 111 | 30.1 |  |  |  | 40-160 | 30 |
| Acetone | ND | 5.0 | 72 | 94 | 26.5 |  |  |  | 40-160 | 30 |
| Acrylonitrile | ND | 5.0 | 75 | 90 | 18.2 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.70 | 86 | 92 | 6.7 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Bromobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 93 | 93 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Bromochloromethane | ND | 1.0 | 79 | 89 | 11.9 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.50 | 86 | 98 | 13.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Bromoform | ND | 1.0 | 83 | 95 | 13.5 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Bromomethane | ND | 1.0 | 103 | 104 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 40-160 | 30 |
| Carbon Disulfide | ND | 1.0 | 89 | 86 | 3.4 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | ND | 1.0 | 91 | 88 | 3.4 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 90 | 92 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Chloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 97 | 94 | 3.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Chloroform | ND | 1.0 | 79 | 91 | 14.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Chloromethane | ND | 1.0 | 96 | 96 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 40-160 | 30 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | 84 | 89 | 5.8 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 0.40 | 82 | 99 | 18.8 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.50 | 88 | 103 | 15.7 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Dibromomethane | ND | 1.0 | 82 | 96 | 15.7 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | 110 | 98 | 11.5 |  |  |  | 40-160 | 30 |
| Ethyl ether | ND | 1.0 | 82 | 96 | 15.7 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 91 | 92 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ND | 0.40 | 111 | 97 | 13.5 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Isopropylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 88 | 88 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| $m \& p-X y l e n e$ | ND | 1.0 | 89 | 91 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone | ND | 5.0 | 78 | 103 | 27.6 |  |  |  | 40-160 | 30 |
| Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE) | ND | 1.0 | 74 | 96 | 25.9 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Methylene chloride | ND | 1.0 | 78 | 83 | 6.2 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Naphthalene | ND | 1.0 | 86 | 104 | 18.9 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |


| Parameter | Blank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BIk } \\ & \text { RL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCS } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCSD } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS } \\ & \text { RPD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSD } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS } \\ \text { RPD } \end{gathered}$ | \% Rec Limits | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { RPD } \\ \text { Limits } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n-Butylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 88 | 92 | 4.4 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| n-Propylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 89 | 90 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| o-Xylene | ND | 1.0 | 90 | 94 | 4.3 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| p-IsopropyItoluene | ND | 1.0 | 89 | 89 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| sec-Butylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 90 | 95 | 5.4 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Styrene | ND | 1.0 | 89 | 93 | 4.4 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| tert-Butylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 88 | 89 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND | 1.0 | 86 | 97 | 12.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Tetrahydrofuran (THF) | ND | 2.5 | 80 | 104 | 26.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Toluene | ND | 1.0 | 87 | 94 | 7.7 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | 86 | 91 | 5.6 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 0.40 | 80 | 95 | 17.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene | ND | 5.0 | 81 | 97 | 18.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Trichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | 91 | 92 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | 107 | 100 | 6.8 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane | ND | 1.0 | 101 | 99 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 1.0 | 95 | 88 | 7.7 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| \% 1,2-dichlorobenzene-d4 | 95 | \% | 100 | 103 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| \% Bromofluorobenzene | 97 | \% | 97 | 102 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| \% Dibromofluoromethane | 103 | \% | 92 | 102 | 10.3 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| \% Toluene-d8 | 93 | \% | 99 | 99 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |

A LCS and LCS Duplicate were performed instead of a matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
Additional 8260 criteria: $10 \%$ of compounds can be outside of acceptance criteria as long as recovery is $10 \%$.
QA/QC Batch 492983 (ug/L), QC Sample No: CD86207 (CD86207)
Volatiles - Surface Water

| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 103 | 98 | 5.0 | 70-130 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 96 | 89 | 7.6 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.50 | 99 | 101 | 2.0 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 91 | 96 | 5.3 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 96 | 91 | 5.3 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | 98 | 90 | 8.5 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,1-Dichloropropene | ND | 1.0 | 93 | 87 | 6.7 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 117 | 119 | 1.7 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 92 | 95 | 3.2 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 108 | 108 | 0.0 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 96 | 91 | 5.3 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 104 | 100 | 3.9 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | ND | 1.0 | 96 | 95 | 1.0 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 101 | 99 | 2.0 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 83 | 91 | 9.2 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 99 | 98 | 1.0 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 96 | 90 | 6.5 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 97 | 96 | 1.0 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,3-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 95 | 96 | 1.0 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 99 | 94 | 5.2 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 1,4-dioxane | ND | 100 | 105 | 90 | 15.4 | 40-160 | 30 |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 1.0 | 102 | 94 | 8.2 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | ND | 1.0 | 103 | 97 | 6.0 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 2-Hexanone | ND | 5.0 | 98 | 98 | 0.0 | 40-160 | 30 |
| 2-Isopropyltoluene | ND | 1.0 | 104 | 97 | 7.0 | 70-130 | 30 |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ND | 1.0 | 96 | 91 | 5.3 | 70-130 | 30 |

QA/QC Data
SDG I.D.: GCD86207

| P arameter | Blank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blk } \\ & \text { RL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCS } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCSD } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS } \\ & \text { RPD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSD } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS } \\ \text { RPD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Rec } \end{gathered}$ Limits | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { RPD } \\ \text { Limits } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | ND | 5.0 | 99 | 105 | 5.9 |  |  |  | 40-160 | 30 |
| Acetone | ND | 5.0 | 92 | 91 | 1.1 |  |  |  | 40-160 | 30 |
| Acrylonitrile | ND | 5.0 | 97 | 93 | 4.2 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.70 | 95 | 92 | 3.2 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Bromobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 99 | 96 | 3.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Bromochloromethane | ND | 1.0 | 100 | 95 | 5.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.50 | 97 | 98 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Bromoform | ND | 1.0 | 109 | 108 | 0.9 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Bromomethane | ND | 1.0 | 104 | 99 | 4.9 |  |  |  | 40-160 | 30 |
| Carbon Disulfide | ND | 1.0 | 94 | 86 | 8.9 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | ND | 1.0 | 90 | 86 | 4.5 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 1.0 | 101 | 96 | 5.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Chloroethane | ND | 1.0 | 99 | 92 | 7.3 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Chloroform | ND | 1.0 | 93 | 91 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Chloromethane | ND | 1.0 | 98 | 92 | 6.3 |  |  |  | 40-160 | 30 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | 96 | 95 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 0.40 | 100 | 100 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.50 | 105 | 104 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Dibromomethane | ND | 1.0 | 91 | 95 | 4.3 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | 94 | 88 | 6.6 |  |  |  | 40-160 | 30 |
| Ethyl ether | ND | 1.0 | 95 | 93 | 2.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 100 | 94 | 6.2 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | ND | 0.40 | 106 | 97 | 8.9 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Isopropylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 93 | 90 | 3.3 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| $m \& p-X y l e n e$ | ND | 1.0 | 98 | 92 | 6.3 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone | ND | 5.0 | 95 | 100 | 5.1 |  |  |  | 40-160 | 30 |
| Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE) | ND | 1.0 | 92 | 101 | 9.3 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Methylene chloride | ND | 1.0 | 87 | 87 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Naphthalene | ND | 1.0 | 114 | 118 | 3.4 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| $n$-Butylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 95 | 90 | 5.4 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| n-Propylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 99 | 92 | 7.3 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| o-Xylene | ND | 1.0 | 100 | 93 | 7.3 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| p-Isopropyltoluene | ND | 1.0 | 95 | 90 | 5.4 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| sec-Butylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 98 | 95 | 3.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Styrene | ND | 1.0 | 99 | 94 | 5.2 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| tert-Butylbenzene | ND | 1.0 | 95 | 90 | 5.4 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND | 1.0 | 99 | 95 | 4.1 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Tetrahydrofuran (THF) | ND | 2.5 | 103 | 108 | 4.7 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Toluene | ND | 1.0 | 97 | 96 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | 100 | 94 | 6.2 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 0.40 | 97 | 98 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene | ND | 5.0 | 113 | 114 | 0.9 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Trichloroethene | ND | 1.0 | 103 | 96 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | 92 | 86 | 6.7 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane | ND | 1.0 | 93 | 83 | 11.4 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 1.0 | 91 | 83 | 9.2 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| \% 1,2-dichlorobenzene-d4 | 97 | \% | 100 | 103 | 3.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| \% Bromofluorobenzene | 97 | \% | 96 | 97 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| \% Dibromofluoromethane | 104 | \% | 96 | 103 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |
| \% Toluene-d8 | 90 | \% | 99 | 99 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 70-130 | 30 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BIk | LCS | LCSD | LCS | MS | MSD | MS | Rec | RPD |
| Parameter | Blank | RL | \% | \% | RPD | \% | \% | RPD | Limits | Limits |

Comment:
A LCS and LCS Duplicate were performed instead of a matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
Additional 8260 criteria: $10 \%$ of compounds can be outside of acceptance criteria as long as recovery is $10 \%$.
QA/QC Batch 492758 (ug/L), QC Sample No: CD86238 (CD86207)
Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons - Surface W ater

| Unadjusted C5-C8 Aliphatics (*1) | ND | 100 | 95 | 95 | 0.0 | 94 | 97 | 3.1 | $70-130$ | 20 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unadjusted C9-C12 Aliphatics (*1) | ND | 100 | 90 | 89 | 1.1 | 86 | 92 | 6.7 | $70-130$ | 20 |
| C5-C8 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons *1,2 | ND | 100 | 95 | 95 | 0.0 | 94 | 97 | 3.1 | $70-130$ | 20 |
| C9-C12 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons *1, | ND | 100 | 90 | 89 | 1.1 | 85 | 90 | 5.7 | $70-130$ | 20 |
| C9-C10 Aromatic Hydrocarbons *1 | ND | 100 | 95 | 95 | 0.0 | 93 | 97 | 4.2 | $70-130$ | 20 |
| Benzene | ND | 1.0 | 88 | 89 | 1.1 | 90 | 93 | 3.3 | $70-130$ | 20 |
| Ethyl Benzene | ND | 1.0 | 91 | 91 | 0.0 | 91 | 95 | 4.3 | $70-130$ | 20 |
| MTBE | ND | 1.0 | 94 | 94 | 0.0 | 93 | 96 | 3.2 | $70-130$ | 20 |
| Naphthalene | ND | 5.0 | 89 | 89 | 0.0 | 86 | 87 | 1.2 | $70-130$ | 20 |
| Toluene | ND | 1.0 | 90 | 91 | 1.1 | 91 | 95 | 4.3 | $70-130$ | 20 |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 2.0 | 92 | 92 | 0.0 | 92 | 95 | 3.2 | $70-130$ | 20 |
| o-Xylene | ND | 1.0 | 89 | 90 | 1.1 | 89 | 93 | 4.4 | $70-130$ | 20 |
| \% 2,5-Dibromotoluene (PID) | 89 | $\%$ | 93 | 93 | 0.0 | 87 | 86 | 1.2 | $70-130$ | 20 |

Comment:
A blank MS/MSD was analyzed with this batch.
$\mathrm{m}=$ This parameter is outside laboratory MS/MSD specified recovery limits.
$r=$ This parameter is outside laboratory RPD specified recovery limits.
If there are any questions regarding this data, please call P hoenix Client Services at extension 200.
RPD - Relative Percent Difference
LCS - Laboratory Control Sample
LCSD - Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate
MS - Matrix Spike
MS Dup - Matrix Spike Duplicate


Phyllis Shiller, Laboratory Director September 04, 2019
NC - No Criteria
Intf - Interference

Wednesday, September 04, 2019
Criteria: MA: CAM, GW3
State: MA

| SampNo | Acode | Phoenix Analyte | Criteria | Result | RL | Criteria | Criteria | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD86207 | \$8260GWR | trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene | MA / CAM Protocol / VOA AQ RL | ND | 5.0 |  | 2 | ug/L |
| CD86207 | \$8260GWR | Tetrahydrofuran (THF) | MA / CAM Protocol / VOA AQ RL | ND | 2.5 |  | 2 | ug/L |
| CD86207 | \$8260GWR | Carbon Disulfide | MA / CAM Protocol / VOA AQ RL | ND | 5.0 |  | 2 | ug/L |
| CD86207 | \$8260GWR | Acetone | MA / CAM Protocol / VOA AQ RL | ND | 25 |  | 10 | ug/L |
| CD86208 | \$8260GWR | trans-1,4-dichloro-2-butene | MA / CAM Protocol / VOA AQ RL | ND | 5.0 |  | 2 | ug/L |
| CD86208 | \$8260GWR | Tetrahydrofuran (THF) | MA / CAM Protocol / VOA AQ RL | ND | 2.5 |  | 2 | ug/L |
| CD86208 | \$8260GWR | Carbon Disulfide | MA / CAM Protocol / VOA AQ RL | ND | 5.0 |  | 2 | ug/L |
| CD86208 | \$8260GWR | Acetone | MA / CAM Protocol / VOA AQ RL | ND | 25 |  | 10 | ug/L |

Phoenix Laboratories does not assume responsibility for the data contained in this exceedance report. It is provided as an additional tool to identify requested criteria exceedences. All efforts are made to ensure the accuracy of the data (obtained from appropriate agencies). A lack of exceedence information does not necessarily suggest conformance to the criteria. It is ultimately the site professional's responsibility to determine appropriate compliance.

| MassDEP Analytical Protocol Certification Form |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laboratory Name: Phoenix Environmental Laboratories, Inc. Project \#: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Location: SOUTHBORO CON COM RTN: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This Form provides certifications for the following data set: [list Laboratory Sample ID Number(s)] CD86207, CD86208 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matrices: $\downarrow$ Groundwater/Surface Water $\quad \square$ Soil/Sediment $\quad \square$ Drinking Water $\square$ Air $\quad \square$ Other: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAM Protocol (check all that apply below) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8260 \text { VOC } \\ & \text { CAM II A } \end{aligned}$ | $7470 / 7471 \mathrm{Hg}$ CAM III B | MassDEP VPH CAM IV A | 8081 Pesticides <br> CAM V B | 7196 Hex Cr CAM VI B | $\square$ | MassDEP APH CAM IX A |
| $8270 \text { SVOC }$ CAM II B | 7010 Metals CAM III C | MassDEP EPH CAM IV B | 8151 Herbicides CAM V C | 8330 Explosiv CAM VIII A |  | TO-15 VOC CAM IX B |
| 6010 Metals CAM III A | 6020 Metals CAM III D | $\begin{aligned} & 8082 \text { PCB } \\ & \text { CAM V A } \end{aligned}$ | 9012 Total Cyanide/PAC CAM V1 A | 6860 Perchlor CAM VIII B |  |  |
| Affirmative responses to questions A through F are required for "Presumptive Certainty" status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A Were all samples received in a condition consistent with those described on the <br>  Chain-of-Custody, properly preserved (including temperature*) in the field or <br> laboratory, and prepared/analyzed with method holding times? (* see narrative)  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes $\square$ No |
| Were the analytical method(s) and all associated QC requirements specified in the selected CAM protocol(s) followed? |  |  |  |  |  | Yes $\square$ No |
| Were all required corrective actions and analytical response actions specified in the selected CAM protocol(s) implemented for all identified performance standard nonconformances? |  |  |  |  |  | Yes $\square$ No |
| Does the laboratory report comply with all the reporting requirements speified in CAM VII A, "Quality Assurance and Quality Control Guidelines for the Acquisition and Reporting of Analytical Data"? |  |  |  |  |  | Yes $\square$ No |
| a. VPH, EPH, and APH Methods only: Was each method conducted without significant modification(s)? (refer to the individual method(s) for a list of significant modifications). <br> b. APH and TO-15 methods only: Was the complete analyte list reported for each method? |  |  |  |  |  | Yes $\square$ No <br> Yes $\square$ No |
| Were all applicable CAM protocol QC and performance standard nonconformances identified and evaluated in a laboratory narrative (including all "No" responses to Questions A through E)? |  |  |  |  |  | Yes $\square$ No |
| Responses to questions G, H and I below is required for "Presumptive Certainty" status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Were the reporting limits at or below all CAM reporting limits specified in the selected CAM protocol(s)? |  |  |  |  |  | Yes $\quad \square$ No |
| Data User Note: Data that achieve "Presumptive Certainty" status may not necessarily meet the data usability and representativeness requirements described in 310 CMR 40. 1056(2)(k) and WSC-07-350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Were all QC performance standards specified in the CAM protocol(s) achieved? See Sections: PCB, PEST Narrations . |  |  |  |  |  | Yes $\quad \checkmark$ No |
| Were results reported for the complete analyte list specified in the selected CAM protocol(s)? |  |  |  |  |  | Yes $\quad \checkmark$ No |
| All negative responses must be addressed in an attached laboratory narrative. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I, the undersigned, attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, based upon my personal inquiry of those responsible for obtaining the information, the material contained in this analytical report is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, accurate and complete. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Authorized Signature: | Rodhui nakol |  | Printed Name: Rashmi Makol Position: Project Manager |  |  | $\text { mber 04, } 2019$ |

Environmental Laboratories, Inc.
587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045


# MCP Certification Report 

September 04, 2019

SDG I.D.: GCD86207

## SDG Comments

Metals Analysis:
The client requested a site specific list of elements which is shorter than the 6010 MCP list.
Phoenix reporting levels may exceed those referenced in the CAM protocol. Please refer to criteria sheet for comparisons to requested MCP standards.

## EPH Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the MADEP document CAM achieved? Yes.

## Instrument:

## AU-FID4 08/16/19-1 Adam Werner, Chemist 08/16/19

CD86207
The initial calibration (AL0730BI) RSD for the compound list was less than $20 \%$ except for the following compounds: None.
The continuing calibration \%D for the compound list was less than $25 \%$ except for the following compounds:None.

## QC (Batch Specific):

Batch 492699 (CD86207)
CD86207
All LCS recoveries were within 40-140 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCSD recoveries were within 40-140 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCS/LCSD RPDs were less than $25 \%$ with the following exceptions: None.
A LCS and LCS Duplicate were performed instead of a matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
Additional EPH fractionation criteria: Breakthrough criteria (BT) is 0 to $5 \%$

I attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, based upon my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, the material contained in this report is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, accurate and complete.

## Mercury Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the analytical method achieved? Yes.

## Instrument:

MERLIN 08/20/19 07:18 Rick Schweitzer, Chemist 08/20/19
CD86207
The method preparation blank contains all of the acids and reagents as the samples; the instrument blanks do not.
The initial calibration met all criteria including a standard run at or below the reporting level.
All calibration verification standards (ICV, CCV) met criteria.
All calibration blank verification standards (ICB, CCB) met criteria.
The matrix spike sample is used to identify spectral interference for each batch of samples, if within $85-115 \%$, no interference is observed and no further action is taken.
The following Initial Calibration Verification (ICV) compounds did not meet criteria: None.
The following Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) compounds did not meet criteria: None.

## QC (Batch Specific):

Batch 492675 (CD86230)
CD86207

Environmental Laboratories, Inc.
587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045


# Certification Report 

## September 04, 2019

SDG I.D.: GCD86207

## Mercury Narration

All LCS recoveries were within 75-125 with the following exceptions: None.
Additional Mercury criteria: LCS acceptance range for waters is $80-120 \%$ and for soils is $75-125 \%$

## ICP Metals Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the analytical method achieved? Yes.

## Instrument:

BLUE 08/15/19 08:57 Tina Hall, Chemist 08/15/19
CD86207
The initial calibration met criteria.
The continuing calibration standards met criteria for all the elements reported. The linear range is defined daily by the calibration range.
The continuing calibration blanks were less than the reporting level for the elements reported.
The ICSA and ICSAB were analyzed at the beginning and end of the run and were within criteria. The linear range is defined daily by the calibration range.
The following Initial Calibration Verification (ICV) compounds did not meet criteria: None.
The following Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) compounds did not meet criteria: None.
The following ICP Interference Check (ICSAB) compounds did not meet criteria: None.

## QC (Batch Specific):

Batch 492629 (CD84736)
CD86207
All LCS recoveries were within 75-125 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCSD recoveries were within 75-125 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCS/LCSD RPDs were less than $20 \%$ with the following exceptions: None.

## ICPMS Metals Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the analytical method achieved? Yes.

## Instrument:

ICPMS 08/22/19 12:34
Cindy Pearce, Chemist 08/22/19
CD86207
The linear range is defined daily by the calibration range.
The following Initial Calibration Verification (ICV) compounds did not meet criteria: None.
The following Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) compounds did not meet criteria: None.
The following samples did not meet internal standard criteria: None.
QC (Batch Specific):

## Batch 493016 (CD85618)

CD86207
All LCS recoveries were within 75-125 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCSD recoveries were within 75-125 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCS/LCSD RPDs were less than $20 \%$ with the following exceptions: None.

Environmental Laboratories, Inc.
587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045


Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823

## MCP Certification Report

September 04, 2019

SDG I.D.: GCD86207

## PCB Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the MADEP document CAM achieved? No.
QC Batch 492646 (Samples: CD86207): -----
The LCS/LCSD RPD exceeds the method criteria for one or more analytes, but these analytes were not reported in the sample(s) so no variability is suspected. (PCB-1016)

The LCS/LCSD RPD exceeds the method criteria for one or more surrogates, therefore there may be variability in the reported result. (\% DCBP (Surrogate Rec) (Confirmation), \% TCMX (Surrogate Rec) (Confirmation))

## Instrument:

AU-ECD1 08/16/19-1
Saadia Chudary, Chemist 08/16/19
CD86207
The initial calibration (PC814AI) RSD for the compound list was less than $20 \%$ except for the following compounds: None. The initial calibration (PC814BI) RSD for the compound list was less than $20 \%$ except for the following compounds: None. The continuing calibration \%D for the compound list was less than $15 \%$ except for the following compounds:None.

## QC (Batch Specific):

Batch 492646 (CD83157)
CD86207
All LCS recoveries were within 40-140 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCSD recoveries were within 40-140 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCS/LCSD RPDs were less than 20\% with the following exceptions: \% DCBP (Surrogate Rec) (Confirmation)(29.3\%), \%
TCMX (Surrogate Rec) (Confirmation)(20.7\%), PCB-1016(23.5\%)
A LCS and LCS Duplicate were performed instead of a matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

I attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, based upon my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, the material contained in this report is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, accurate and complete.

## PEST Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the MADEP document CAM achieved? No.
QC Batch 492647 (Samples: CD86207): -----
The LCS/LCSD RPD exceeds the method criteria for one or more surrogates, therefore there may be variability in the reported result. (\% TCMX)

## Instrument:

AU-ECD4 08/16/19-1 Adam Werner, Chemist 08/16/19
CD86207
The initial calibration (PS808AI) RSD for the compound list was less than 20\% except for the following compounds: None.
The initial calibration (PS808BI) RSD for the compound list was less than $20 \%$ except for the following compounds: None.
The Endrin and DDT breakdown does not exceed 15\% except for the following compounds:None.
The Endrin and DDT breakdown does not exceed the maximum of $20 \%$ except for the following compounds:None.
The continuing calibration \%D for the compound list was less than $20 \%$ except for the following compounds:

Environmental Laboratories, Inc.
587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045


Tel. (860) 645-1102
Fax (860) 645-0823

## MCP Certification Report

September 04, 2019

SDG I.D.: GCD86207

## PEST Narration

Samples: CD86207
Preceding CC 816A061 - Methoxychlor -21\%L (20\%)
Succeeding CC 816A072 - None.
A low "1A" standard was run after the samples to demonstrate capability to detect any compounds outside of the CC acceptance criteria. All reported samples were ND for the affected compounds.

## QC (Batch Specific):

## Batch 492647 (CD83157)

CD86207
All LCS recoveries were within 40-140 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCSD recoveries were within 40-140 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCS/LCSD RPDs were less than 20\% with the following exceptions: \% TCMX(41.3\%)
A LCS and LCS duplicate were performed instead of a MS and MSD. Alpha and gamma chlordane were spiked and analyzed instead of technical chlordane. Gamma chlordane recovery is reported as chlordane in the LCS and LCSD

I attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, based upon my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, the material contained in this report is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, accurate and complete.

## SVOASIM Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the MADEP document CAM achieved? Yes.

## Instrument:

CHEM27 08/20/19-1 Wes Bryon, Chemist 08/20/19
CD86207
For 8270 BN list, benzidine peak tailing was evaluated in the DFTPP tune and was found to be in control.
Initial Calibration Evaluation (CHEM27/27_BNSIM18_0819):
$100 \%$ of target compounds met criteria.
The following compounds had \%RSDs >20\%: None.
The following compounds did not meet recommended response factors: None.
The following compounds did not meet a minimum response factors: None.
Continuing Calibration Verification (CHEM27/0820_03-27_BNSIM18_0819) (MCP Compliance):
Internal standard areas were within 50 to $200 \%$ of the initial calibration with the following exceptions: None.
$100 \%$ of target compounds met criteria.
The following compounds did not meet \% deviation criteria: None.
The following compounds did not meet maximum \% deviations: None.
The following compounds did not meet recommended response factors: None.
The following compounds did not meet minimum response factors: None.

## QC (Batch Specific):

## Batch 492789 (CD84885)

CD86207
All LCS recoveries were within 30-130 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCSD recoveries were within 30-130 with the following exceptions: None.

Environmental Laboratories, Inc.
587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045


Tel. (860) 645-1102
Fax (860) 645-0823

# MCP Certification Report 

September 04, 2019

SDG I.D.: GCD86207

## SVOASIM Narration

All LCS/LCSD RPDs were less than 20\% with the following exceptions: None.
Additional 8270 criteria: $10 \%$ of compounds can be outside of acceptance criteria as long as recovery is at least 10\%. (Acid surrogates acceptance range for aqueous samples: 10-110\%, for soils 30-130\%)

I attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, based upon my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, the material contained in this report is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, accurate and complete.

## VOA Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the MADEP document CAM achieved? Yes.

## Instrument:

## CHEM17 08/15/19-2 <br> Michael Hahn, Chemist 08/15/19

CD86208
Initial Calibration Evaluation (CHEM17/VT-S081419):
$100 \%$ of target compounds met criteria.
The following compounds had \%RSDs >20\%: None.
The following compounds did not meet recommended response factors: 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane 0.042 ( 0.05 ), 2-Hexanone
0.073 (0.1), 4-Methyl-2-pentanone 0.097 (0.1), Acetone 0.049 ( 0.1 ), Bromoform 0.092 ( 0.1 ), Methyl ethyl ketone 0.056 (0.1),

Tetrahydrofuran (THF) 0.032 (0.05)
The following compounds did not meet a minimum response factors: None.
Continuing Calibration Verification (CHEM17/0815_28-VT-S081419) (MCP Compliance):
Internal standard areas were within 50 to $200 \%$ of the initial calibration with the following exceptions: None.
$100 \%$ of target compounds met criteria.
The following compounds did not meet \% deviation criteria: None.
The following compounds did not meet maximum \% deviations: None.
The following compounds did not meet recommended response factors: 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane 0.041 (0.05), 2-Hexanone 0.073 (0.1), Acetone 0.046 ( 0.1 ), Acrylonitrile 0.048 (0.05), Bromoform 0.096 (0.1), Methyl ethyl ketone 0.055 ( 0.1 ), Tetrahydrofuran (THF) 0.035 (0.05)
The following compounds did not meet minimum response factors: None.
CHEM17 08/18/19-1 Michael Hahn, Chemist 08/18/19
CD86207
Initial Calibration Evaluation (CHEM17/VT-S081419):
$100 \%$ of target compounds met criteria.
The following compounds had \%RSDs >20\%: None.
The following compounds did not meet recommended response factors: 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane 0.042 ( 0.05 ), 2-Hexanone 0.073 (0.1), 4-Methyl-2-pentanone 0.097 (0.1), Acetone 0.049 ( 0.1 ), Bromoform 0.092 ( 0.1 ), Methyl ethyl ketone 0.056 ( 0.1 ), Tetrahydrofuran (THF) 0.032 (0.05)
The following compounds did not meet a minimum response factors: None.
Continuing Calibration Verification (CHEM17/0818_02-VT-S081419) (MCP Compliance): Internal standard areas were within 50 to $200 \%$ of the initial calibration with the following exceptions: None. $100 \%$ of target compounds met criteria.
The following compounds did not meet \% deviation criteria: None.
The following compounds did not meet maximum \% deviations: None.
The following compounds did not meet recommended response factors: 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane 0.045 (0.05), 2-Hexanone 0.079 (0.1), Acetone 0.044 ( 0.1 ), Acrylonitrile 0.049 ( 0.05 ), Methyl ethyl ketone 0.057 ( 0.1 ), Tetrahydrofuran (THF) 0.035 (0.05)

Environmental Laboratories, Inc.


587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045
Tel. (860) 645-1102
Fax (860) 645-0823

## MCP Certification Report

September 04, 2019

SDG I.D.: GCD86207

## VOA Narration

The following compounds did not meet minimum response factors: None.

## QC (Batch Specific):

## Batch 492770 (CD85841)

CD86208
All LCS recoveries were within 70-130 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCSD recoveries were within 70-130 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCS/LCSD RPDs were less than $30 \%$ with the following exceptions: None.
A LCS and LCS Duplicate were performed instead of a matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
Additional 8260 criteria: $10 \%$ of compounds can be outside of acceptance criteria as long as recovery is $10 \%$.

## Batch 492983 (CD86207)

CD86207
All LCS recoveries were within 70-130 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCSD recoveries were within 70-130 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCS/LCSD RPDs were less than $30 \%$ with the following exceptions: None.
A LCS and LCS Duplicate were performed instead of a matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.
Additional 8260 criteria: $10 \%$ of compounds can be outside of acceptance criteria as long as recovery is $10 \%$.

We attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, based upon my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, the material contained in this report is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, accurate and complete.

## VPH Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the MADEP document CAM achieved? Yes.
Instrument:
PIDFID 08/16/19-2
Raman Makol, Chemist 08/16/19
CD86207
Initial Calibration Evaluation (PIDFID/VPH_071719_T):
The following compounds exceeded \%RSD criteria: None.
QC (Batch Specific):
Batch 492758 (CD86238)
CD86207
All LCS recoveries were within 70-130 with the following exceptions: None.
All LCSD recoveries were within 70-130 with the following exceptions: None. All LCS/LCSD RPDs were less than $20 \%$ with the following exceptions: None.
A blank MS/MSD was analyzed with this batch.

I attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, based upon my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, the material contained in this report is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, accurate and complete.

| Effective Date(s): 10/20/18-10/20/19 |  |  |  |  | Analyst: aw |  |  | \% Rec3 | \% Rec4 | Rec Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AS \# | TV | 20ml | 22ml | 25ml | 30ml | \% Rec1 | \% Rec2 |  |  |  |
| C9 - Nonane | 40 | 18.96 | 20.27 | 20.49 | 18.72 | 47.4 | 50.7 | 51.2 | 46.8 |  |
| C-10 Decane | 40 | 22.86 | 24.41 | 24.96 | 22.64 | 57.2 | 61.0 | 62.4 | 56.6 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene | 40 | 32.15 | 32.70 | 29.72 | 32.49 | 80.4 | 81.7 | 74.3 | 81.2 |  |
| Naphthalene | 40 | 35.31 | 35.92 | 32.63 | 35.76 | 88.3 | 89.8 | 81.6 | 89.4 |  |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 40 | 36.24 | 36.93 | 33.55 | 36.75 | 90.6 | 92.3 | 83.9 | 91.9 |  |
| C12-Dodecane | 40 | 25.51 | 27.21 | 27.57 | 25.45 | 63.8 | 68.0 | 68.9 | 63.6 |  |
| Acenaphthalene | 40 | 37.76 | 38.39 | 34.67 | 38.23 | 94.4 | 96.0 | 86.7 | 95.6 |  |
| Acenaphthene | 40 | 38.58 | 39.12 | 35.34 | 39.01 | 96.5 | 97.8 | 88.3 | 97.5 |  |
| C14 - Tetradecane | 40 | 28.57 | 30.45 | 30.82 | 28.72 | 71.4 | 76.1 | 77.1 | 71.8 |  |
| Fluorene | 40 | 40.44 | 40.86 | 36.93 | 40.77 | 101.1 | 102.1 | 92.3 | 101.9 |  |
| C16-Hexadecane | 40 | 32.57 | 34.72 | 34.82 | 32.64 | 81.4 | 86.8 | 87.1 | 81.6 |  |
| Anthracene | 40 | 38.92 | 38.81 | 35.41 | 39.01 | 97.3 | 97.0 | 88.5 | 97.5 |  |
| Phenanthrene | 40 | 39.66 | 39.52 | 36.02 | 39.67 | 99.2 | 98.8 | 90.0 | 99.2 |  |
| C18-Octadecane | 40 | 35.54 | 37.74 | 37.80 | 35.57 | 88.9 | 94.3 | 94.5 | 88.9 |  |
| Fluoranthene | 40 | 38.97 | 38.68 | 35.51 | 39.03 | 97.4 | 96.7 | 88.8 | 97.6 |  |
| Pyrene | 40 | 39.20 | 38.87 | 35.63 | 39.29 | 98.0 | 97.2 | 89.1 | 98.2 |  |
| C20-Eicosane | 40 | 37.67 | 40.11 | 39.74 | 37.68 | 94.2 | 100.3 | 99.4 | 94.2 |  |
| C21-Heneicosane | 40 | 36.65 | 39.06 | 38.49 | 36.41 | 91.6 | 97.7 | 96.2 | 91.0 |  |
| C22-Docosane | 40 | 38.25 | 41.01 | 40.01 | 37.71 | 95.6 | 102.5 | 100.0 | 94.3 |  |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 40 | 39.10 | 37.48 | 34.74 | 38.88 | 97.7 | 93.7 | 86.9 | 97.2 |  |
| Chyrsene | 40 | 37.05 | 38.18 | 35.03 | 38.36 | 92.6 | 95.5 | 87.6 | 95.9 |  |
| C24-Tetracosane | 40 | 37.34 | 40.13 | 39.11 | 36.91 | 93.3 | 100.3 | 97.8 | 92.3 |  |
| Benzo(b/K)fluor COPK | 80 | 75.89 | 74.71 | 68.89 | 75.77 | 94.9 | 93.4 | 86.1 | 94.7 |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 40 | 40.14 | 39.73 | 35.90 | 40.28 | 100.4 | 99.3 | 89.8 | 100.7 |  |
| C26-Hexacosane | 40 | 38.34 | 41.64 | 40.56 | 38.11 | 95.8 | 104.1 | 101.4 | 95.3 |  |
| C28-Octacosane | 40 | 39.72 | 42.67 | 41.97 | 39.37 | 99.3 | 106.7 | 104.9 | 98.4 |  |
| Indeno/Dibenz copk | 80 | 13.90 | 74.92 | 71.31 | 31.64 | 17.4 | 93.7 | 89.1 | 39.5 |  |
| Benzo(ghi)perylene | 40 | 39.27 | 35.61 | 34.83 | 36.15 | 98.2 | 89.0 | 87.1 | 90.4 |  |
| C30-Tricotane | 40 | 37.50 | 40.32 | 39.72 | 37.25 | 93.7 | 100.8 | 99.3 | 93.1 |  |
| C32-Dotriacontance | 40 | 37.09 | 39.88 | 39.50 | 36.81 | 92.7 | 99.7 | 98.7 | 92.0 |  |
| C34-Tetratriacontane | 40 | 36.67 | 39.41 | 38.78 | 36.38 | 91.7 | 98.5 | 97.0 | 90.9 |  |
| C36-Hexatriacontane | 40 | 35.46 | 37.75 | 37.62 | 35.32 | 88.6 | 94.4 | 94.1 | 88.3 |  |

EPH Fractionation Standard
SDG I.D.: GCD86207
Wednesday, September 04, 2019

| Effective Date(s): 10/20/18-10/20/19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AS \# | TV | 20 ml | 22ml | 25ml | 30ml | \% Rec1 | \% Rec2 | \% Rec3 | \% Rec4 | Rec Limits |
| C38-Octatriacontane | 40 | 35.41 | 37.31 | 37.18 | 35.44 | 88.5 | 93.3 | 92.9 | 88.6 |  |
| C40-Tetracontane | 40 | 35.55 | 36.94 | 37.28 | 35.41 | 88.9 | 92.4 | 93.2 | 88.5 |  |

Notes: EPH Frac Check Solution EPH10b-solvent transfer into hex, frac 1 ml . Dilute 5 x to run tv=40 Lot:140118-1165992 AU-FID3 10/29/18 EPH O29_062/O29_064/O29_066/O29_068


## Report Prepared for:

Bobbi Aloisa
Phoenix Environmental Laboratories
587 East Middle Turnpike
Manchester CT 06040

## Report Information:

Pace Project \#: 10488182
Sample Receipt Date: 08/20/2019
Client Project \#: CD86207
Client Sub PO \#: N/A
State Cert \#: M-MN064

## Invoicing \& Reporting Options:

The report provided has been invoiced as a Level 2 PCDD/PCDF Report. If an upgrade of this report package is requested, an additional charge may be applied.

Please review the attached invoice for accuracy and forward any questions to Joanne Richardson, your Pace Project Manager.

This report has been reviewed by:


September 03, 2019
Joanne Richardson,
(612) 607-6453
(612) 607-6444 (fax)


## Report of Laboratory Analysis

Thisreportshouldnotbereproduced, exceptinfull, withoutthewrittenconsentofPaceAnalyticalServices,Inc

Theresultsrelateonlytothesamplesincludedinthisreport.

Pace Analytical Services, LLC.
1700 Elm Street
Minneapolis, MN 55414
Phone: 612.607.1700
Fax: 612.607.6444

## DISCUSSION

This report presents the results from the analysis performed on one sample submitted by a representative of Phoenix Environmental Laboratories, Inc. The sample was analyzed for the presence or absence of polychlorodibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and polychlorodibenzofurans (PCDFs) using USEPA Method 1613B. The reporting limits were set to correspond to the lowest calibration points and a nominal 1-Liter sample amount, and the sensitivity was verified by signal-to-noise measurements. The quantitation limits, adjusted for sample extraction amount, may be somewhat higher or lower than the reporting limits provided in this report.

The recoveries of the isotopically-labeled PCDD/PCDF internal standards in the sample extract ranged from $52-83 \%$. All of the labeled standard recoveries obtained for this project were within the target ranges specified in Method 1613B. Also, since the quantification of the native $2,3,7,8$-substituted congeners was based on isotope dilution, the data were automatically corrected for variation in recovery and accurate values were obtained.

A laboratory method blank was prepared and analyzed with the sample batch as part of our routine quality control procedures. The results show the blank to be free of PCDDs and PCDFs at the reporting limits. These results indicate that the sample preparation procedures did not significantly impact the results reported for the field sample.

Laboratory spike samples were also prepared with the sample batch using clean reference matrix that had been fortified with native standard materials. The results show that the spiked native compounds were recovered at $87-118 \%$ with relative percent differences of $0.0-7.9 \%$. These results were within the target ranges for the method. Matrix spikes were not prepared with the sample batch.

## REPORTOFLABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc

## Minnesota Laboratory Certifications

| Authority | Certificate \# | Authority | Certificate \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A2LA | 2926.01 | Minnesota - Pet | 1240 |
| Alabama | 40770 | Mississippi | MN00064 |
| Alaska - DW | MN00064 | Missouri - DW | 10100 |
| Alaska - UST | 17-009 | Montana | CERT0092 |
| Arizona | AZ0014 | Nebraska | NE-OS-18-06 |
| Arkansas - DW | MN00064 | Nevada | MN00064 |
| Arkansas - WW | 88-0680 | New Hampshire | 2081 |
| CNMI Saipan | MP0003 | New Jersey (NE | MN002 |
| California | 2929 | New York | 11647 |
| Colorado | MN00064 | North Carolina | 27700 |
| Connecticut | PH-0256 | North Carolina - | 27700 |
| EPA Region 8+ | via MN 027-053 | North Carolina - | 530 |
| Florida (NELAP | E87605 | North Dakota | R-036 |
| Georgia | 959 | Ohio - DW | 41244 |
| Guam | 17-001r | Ohio - VAP | CL101 |
| Hawaii | MN00064 | Oklahoma | 9507 |
| Idaho | MN00064 | Oregon - Primar | MN300001 |
| Illinois | 200011 | Oregon-Secon | MN200001 |
| Indiana | C-MN-01 | Pennsylvania | 68-00563 |
| lowa | 368 | Puerto Rico | MN00064 |
| Kansas | E-10167 | South Carolina | 74003 |
| Kentucky - DW | 90062 | South Dakota | NA |
| Kentucky - WW | 90062 | Tennessee | TN02818 |
| Louisiana-DE | 03086 | Texas | T104704192 |
| Louisiana - DW | MN00064 | Utah (NELAP) | MN00064 |
| Maine | MN00064 | Virginia | 460163 |
| Maryland | 322 | Washington | C486 |
| Massachusetts | M-MN064 | West Virginia - | 382 |
| Michigan | 9909 | West Virginia - | 9952C |
| Minnesota | 027-053-137 | Wisconsin | 999407970 |
| Minnesota - De | via MN 027-053 | Wyoming - UST | 2926.01 |

## REPORT OFLABORATORY ANALYSIS

## Appendix A

## Sample Management



| PaceAnalytical | Document Name： | Document Revised：09May2019 <br> Pagele 1 of 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Document No．： |  |
|  | Issuing Authority： |  |
|  | Pace Minnesota Quality Office |  |



Note：Each West Virginia Sample must have temp taken（no temp blanks）

| Temp should be above freezing to $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Cooler Temp Read w／temp blank： | 53 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Average Corrected Temp See Exceptions （no temp blank only）： <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correction Factor：＋＋ 0.1 | Cooler Temp Corrected w／temp blank： | 5.4 | ${ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |

USDA Regulated Soil：（ $\mathbb{N} / \mathrm{A}$ ，water sample／Other $\qquad$ ） Did samples originate in a quarantine zone within the United States：AL，AR，CA，FL，GA，Date／Initials of Person Examining Contents：Cor $8 / 20 / 19$ Did samples originate from a foreign source（internationally，including If Yes to either question，fill out a Regulated Soil Checklist（F－MN－Q－338）and include with SCUR／COC paperwork

|  |  |  | COMMENTS： |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chain of Custody Present and Filled Out？ | XiYes | $\square \mathrm{No}$ | 1. |  |  |  |
| Chain of Custody Relinquished？ | 國Yes | $\square \mathrm{No}$ | 2. |  |  |  |
| Sampler Name and／or Signature on COC？ | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ | X／No $\square$ N／A | 3. |  |  |  |
| Samples Arrived within Hold Time？ | VYes | $\square$ No | 4. |  |  |  |
| Short Hold Time Analysis（＜72 hr）？ | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ | 区iNo | 5．$\square$ Fecal Coliform $\square$ HPC $\square$ Total Coliform／E coli $\square \mathrm{BOD} / \mathrm{CBOD} \square$ Hex Chrome $\square$ Turbidity $\square$ Nitrate $\square$ Nitrite $\square$ orthophos $\square$ other |  |  |  |
| Rush Turn Around Time Requested？ | $\square$ Yes | Xino | $6 . \square \square$ |  |  |  |
| Sufficient Volume？ | 戈Yes | $\square$ No | 7. |  |  |  |
| Correct Containers Used？ －Pace Containers Used？ | yes <br> $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No | 8. |  |  |  |
| Containers Intact？ | XYes | $\square$ No | 9. |  |  |  |
| Field Filtered Volume Received for Dissolved Tests？ | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No 区／j／A | 10．Is sediment visible in the dissolved container？$\square$ Yes $\square$ No |  |  |  |
| Is sufficient information available to reconcile the samples to the COC？ $\text { Matrix: } \triangle \text { water } \square \text { soil } \square \text { oil } \square \text { other }$ | 区Yes | $\square \mathrm{No}$ | 11．If no，write ID／Date／Time on Container Below： |  |  |  |
| All containers needing acid／base preservation have been checked？ | $\square \mathrm{Y}$ ¢ | $\square$ No X＇N／A | 12．Sample \＃ |  |  |  |
| All containers needing preservation are found to be in compliance with EPA recommendation？ <br> （ $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4},<2 \mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{NaOH}>9$ Sulfide， $\mathrm{NaOH}>12$ Cyanide） | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ | $\square$ No $\quad$ XIN／A | $\square \mathrm{NaOH} \quad \square \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \quad \square \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \quad \square$ Zinc Acetate |  |  |  |
| Exceptions：VOA，Coliform，TOC／DOC Oit and Grease， | $\square \mathrm{Y}$ es | $\square N o \quad X N / A$ | Positive for Res．$\square$ YesChlorine？$\square$ No $\quad$ pH Paper Lot\＃ |  |  | See Exception $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0－14 Strip |
| Headspace in VOA Vials（greater than 6 mm ）？ | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ | $\square \mathrm{No}$ XN／A | 13. |  |  | See Exception $\square$ |
| Trip Blank Present？ <br> Trip Blank Custody Seals Present？ | $\begin{aligned} & \square \mathrm{Yes} \\ & \square \mathrm{Yes} \end{aligned}$ | $\square N o \quad$ XIN／A $\square$ No XIN／A | 14. Pace Trip Blank Lot \＃（if purchased）：$N / / A$ |  |  |  |

CLIENT NOTIFICATION／RESOLUTION
Person Contacted：
Date／Time：
Field Data Required？$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
Comments／Resolution：

Project Manager Review：


Date：8－20－19
Note：Whenever there is a discrepancy affect ing North Carolina compliance samples，a copy of this form will be sent to the North Carolina DEHNR Certification Office（i．e out of hold，incorrect preservative，out of temp，incorrect containers）．

Labeled by：


## Reporting Flags

A = Reporting Limit based on signal to noise
$B=$ Less than 10x higher than method blank level
$C=$ Result obtained from confirmation analysis
$D=$ Result obtained from analysis of diluted sample
$E=$ Exceeds calibration range
I = Interferencepresent
$J=$ Estimated value
$L=$ Suppressive interference, analyte may be biased low
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis
$P=P C D E I n t e r f e r e n c e$
$R=$ Recovery outside target range
$S=$ Peak saturated
$\mathrm{U}=$ Analyte not detected
$\mathrm{V}=$ Result verified by confirmation analysis
X = \%D Exceeds limits
$\mathrm{Y}=$ Calculated using average of daily RFs

* $=$ SeeDiscussion


## Appendix B

## Sample Analysis Summary

## Method 1613B Sample Analysis Results

Client - Phoenix Environmental Laboratories

| Client's Sample ID | CD86207 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab Sample ID | 10488182001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Filename | U190824B_05 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Injected By | BAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Amount Extracted | 504 mL |  |  | Matrix Water |  |  |
| \% Moisture | NA |  |  | Dilution NA |  |  |
| Dry Weight Extracted | NA |  |  | Collected 08/14 | 19 10:30 |  |
| ICAL ID | U190730 |  |  | Received 08/20 | 19 09:10 |  |
| CCal Filename(s) | U190824A_14 |  |  | Extracted 08/22 | 19 10:55 |  |
| Method Blank ID | BLANK-72884 |  |  | Analyzed 08/24/ | 19 20:01 |  |
| Native Isomers | Conc pg/L | EMPC pg/L | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{R L} \\ \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L} \end{gathered}$ | Internal Standards | ng's Added | Percent Recovery |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | ----- | 10 | 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 2.00 | 77 |
| Total TCDF | ND | ----- | 10 | 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 2.00 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 2.00 | 81 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | ----- | 10 | 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 2.00 | 79 |
| Total TCDD | ND | ----- | 10 | 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 2.00 | 83 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 66 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 70 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 71 |
| Total PeCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | 60 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | ----- | 50 | 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | 61 |
| Total PeCDD | ND | ----- | 50 | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 2.00 | 56 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 2.00 | 65 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND |  | 50 | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 2.00 | 65 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND |  | 50 | OCDD-13C | 4.00 | 52 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND |  | 50 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | 1,2,3,4-TCDD-13C | 2.00 | NA |
| Total HxCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | NA |
|  | ND | ----- | 50 | 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37CI4 | 0.20 | 86 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | -- | 50 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | ----- | 50 |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDD | ND | ----- | 50 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | NDND | ----- | 50 | Total 2,3,7,8-TCDD |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF |  | ----- | 50 | Equivalence: $0.00 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
| Total HpCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | (Lower-bound - Using MAD | Factors) |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | NDND | ----- | 50 |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDD |  | ----- | 50 |  |  |  |
| OCDF | ND | ----- | 100 |  |  |  |
| OCDD | ND | ----- | 100 |  |  |  |
| Conc $=$ Concentration (Totals include 2,3,7,8-substituted EMPC = Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration RL $=$ Reporting Limit |  |  | ers). | ND = Not Detected <br> NA = Not Applicable <br> NC = Not Calculated |  |  |


| Matrix | Water |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |  |
| Collected | $08 / 14 / 2019$ | $10: 30$ |
| Received | $08 / 20 / 2019$ | $09: 10$ |
| Extracted | $08 / 22 / 2019$ | $10: 55$ |
| Analyzed | $08 / 24 / 2019$ | $20: 01$ |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## Method 1613B Blank Analysis Results

Lab Sample Name
Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracted
ICAL ID
CCal Filename(s)

DFBLKVT
BLANK-72884
U190824A_11
1030 mL
U190730
U190823B_17

| Matrix | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |
| Extracted | O8/22/2019 10:55 |
| Analyzed | 08/24/2019 13:23 |
| Injected By | BAL |


| Native Isomers | Conc pg/L | EMPC <br> pg/L | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{R L} \\ \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L} \end{gathered}$ | Internal Standards | ng's Added | Percent Recovery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | ND | ----- | 10 | 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 2.00 | 83 |
| Total TCDF | ND | ----- | 10 | 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 2.00 | 86 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 2.00 | 88 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | ND | ----- | 10 | 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 2.00 | 85 |
| Total TCDD | ND | ----- | 10 | 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 2.00 | 96 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 70 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 72 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 75 |
| Total PeCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 2.00 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | 70 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | ND | ----- | 50 | 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | 65 |
| Total PeCDD | ND | ----- | 50 | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 2.00 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 2.00 | 67 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 2.00 | 72 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | OCDD-13C | 4.00 | 59 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 50 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | 1,2,3,4-TCDD-13C | 2.00 | NA |
| Total HxCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD-13C | 2.00 | NA |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | ND | ----- | 50 | 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37CI4 | 0.20 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | ND | ----- | 50 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | ND | ----- | 50 |  |  |  |
| Total HxCDD | ND | ----- | 50 |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | ND | -- | 50 | Total 2,3,7,8-TCDD |  |  |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | Equivalence: $0.00 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |
| Total HpCDF | ND | ----- | 50 | (Lower-bound - Using MADEP | Factors) |  |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | ND | ----- | 50 |  |  |  |
| Total HpCDD | ND | --- | 50 |  |  |  |
| OCDF | ND | ----- | 100 |  |  |  |
| OCDD | ND | ----- | 100 |  |  |  |

Conc $=$ Concentration (Totals include 2,3,7,8-substitutedisomers).
EMPC = Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration
RL = Reporting Limit

## REPORT OFLABORATORY ANALYSIS

## Method 1613B Laboratory Control Spike Results

Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracted
ICAL ID
CCal Filename
Method Blank ID

LCS-72885
U190824A_12 1040 mL U190730
U190823B_17
BLANK-728884

| Matrix | Water |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |  |
| Extracted | $08 / 22 / 2019$ | $10: 55$ |
| Analyzed | $08 / 24 / 2019$ | $14: 07$ |
| Injected By | BAL |  |


| Compound | Cs | Cr | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Rec. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 10 | 9.4 | 7.5 | 15.8 | 94 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 10 | 11 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 106 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 48 | 40.0 | 67.0 | 96 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 50 | 47 | 34.0 | 80.0 | 95 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 50 | 46 | 35.0 | 71.0 | 91 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 49 | 36.0 | 67.0 | 97 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 46 | 42.0 | 65.0 | 93 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 50 | 47 | 35.0 | 78.0 | 94 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 50 | 45 | 39.0 | 65.0 | 91 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 50 | 35.0 | 82.0 | 100 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 50 | 54 | 38.0 | 67.0 | 108 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 50 | 55 | 32.0 | 81.0 | 111 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 50 | 51 | 41.0 | 61.0 | 101 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 50 | 46 | 39.0 | 69.0 | 92 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 50 | 45 | 35.0 | 70.0 | 89 |
| OCDF | 100 | 110 | 63.0 | 170.0 | 109 |
| OCDD | 100 | 100 | 78.0 | 144.0 | 101 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-37CI4 | 10 | 9.2 | 3.1 | 19.1 | 92 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF-13C | 100 | 79 | 22.0 | 152.0 | 79 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD-13C | 100 | 79 | 20.0 | 175.0 | 79 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 78 | 21.0 | 192.0 | 78 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF-13C | 100 | 79 | 13.0 | 328.0 | 79 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD-13C | 100 | 85 | 21.0 | 227.0 | 85 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 69 | 19.0 | 202.0 | 69 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 73 | 21.0 | 159.0 | 73 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 73 | 22.0 | 176.0 | 73 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF-13C | 100 | 78 | 17.0 | 205.0 | 78 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 65 | 21.0 | 193.0 | 65 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD-13C | 100 | 65 | 25.0 | 163.0 | 65 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 61 | 21.0 | 158.0 | 61 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF-13C | 100 | 69 | 20.0 | 186.0 | 69 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD-13C | 100 | 68 | 26.0 | 166.0 | 68 |
| OCDD-13C | 200 | 110 | 26.0 | 397.0 | 56 |

Cs = Concentration Spiked (ng/mL)
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
Rec. = Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6, 10/94 Revision
R = Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis

* $=$ See Discussion


## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## Method 1613B Laboratory Control Spike Results

Lab Sample ID
Filename
Total Amount Extracted
ICAL ID
CCal Filename
Method Blank ID
LCSD-72886
U190824A_13
1050 mL
U190730
U190823B_17
BLANK-72884

| Matrix | Water |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dilution | NA |  |
| Extracted | $08 / 22 / 2019$ | $10: 55$ |
| Analyzed | $08 / 24 / 2019$ | $14: 50$ |
| Injected By | BAL |  |


| Compound | Cs | Cr | Lower <br> Limit | Upper <br> Limit | Rec. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Cs = Concentration Spiked (ng/mL)
$\mathrm{Cr}=$ Concentration Recovered ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ )
Rec. = Recovery (Expressed as Percent)
Control Limit Reference: Method 1613, Table 6, 10/94 Revision
R = Recovery outside of control limits
$\mathrm{Nn}=$ Value obtained from additional analysis

* $=$ See Discussion


## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

## Method 1613B

Spike Recovery Relative Percent Difference (RPD) Results

Client
Phoenix Environmental Laboratories

| Spike 1 ID LCS-72885 <br> Spike 1 Filename U190824A_12 | Spike 2 ID <br> Spike 2 Filename |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCSD-72886 } \\ & \text { U190824A_13 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | Spike 1 \%REC | Spike 2 \%REC | \%RPD |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDF | 94 | 95 | 1.1 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD | 106 | 99 | 6.8 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF | 96 | 93 | 3.2 |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF | 95 | 94 | 1.1 |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD | 91 | 91 | 0.0 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF | 97 | 100 | 3.0 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF | 93 | 98 | 5.2 |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF | 94 | 95 | 1.1 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF | 91 | 92 | 1.1 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD | 100 | 101 | 1.0 |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD | 108 | 114 | 5.4 |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD | 111 | 116 | 4.4 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF | 101 | 106 | 4.8 |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF | 92 | 96 | 4.3 |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD | 89 | 87 | 2.3 |
| OCDF | 109 | 118 | 7.9 |
| OCDD | 101 | 104 | 2.9 |

\%REC = Percent Recovered
RPD = The difference between the two values divided by the mean value

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS
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